

## **The chilling fact is that most climate change theories are wrong.**

- Maurice Newman, The Australian, March 8th, 2018.

You have to hand it to Peter Hannam, The Sydney Morning Herald's climate change alarmist-in-chief, for his report last month. 'Really extreme' global weather event leaves scientists aghast". Hannam is often the canary in the coal mine (er, wind farm) when there is a sense that public belief in man-made global warming is flagging.

With Europe in the grip of a much colder Winter than predicted and with the - abnormal chill spreading even to Africa, he did his best to hold the line.

Earlier this year, Climate Council councillor, Will Steffen, also climbed on board; for The Sydney Morning Herald, of course. Extreme cold in Britain, Switzerland and Japan, a record-breaking cold snap in Canada and the US and an expansion of the East Antarctic ice sheet coincided with a Bureau of Meteorology tweet (later retracted) that January 7th had set a heat record for the Sydney Basin. Steffen told us these seemingly unrelated events were, in fact, linked. "Climate disruption" explained both. Whether fire or ice, we're to blame. No ifs, no buts.

Now a warming Arctic provides the perfect opportunity for Hannam to divert attention from the latest deep freeze. He ominously warns, "Climate scientists are used to seeing the range of weather extremes stretched by global warming, but few episodes appear as remarkable as this week's unusual heat over the Arctic."

It's true, warm air has made its way up to the high Arctic, driving temperatures up to 20 C above average. But Anthony Watts, who runs a climate-change website, puts things into perspective. He observes, "Warm, moist air from the Pacific and Atlantic oceans has warmed the Arctic above the 80th parallel. It should be noted, however, that the Arctic Circle actually starts at 66 degrees north, meaning the record heat is over a much narrower area."

Cato Institute atmospheric scientist, Ryan Maue, reviewed high Arctic temperature data going back to 1958 and says, "Data before the satellite era ... has some problems, so it's hard to say the current spike is for sure a record." He says that if the baseline is 1973, when the polar-orbiting satellites began recording the data, there is not much difference between today's ice extent and then.

Indeed, we now have satellite confirmation that global air temperatures are back to the same level they were before the 2014-16 super El Nino event and, this January and February, the decline accelerated. Since 2015, satellites also have detected a fall in sea surface temperatures.

Solar expert, Piers Corbyn, of British forecasting group, WeatherAction, and famous for his successful wagers against the British Met Office forecasts, predicts Earth faces another mini ice age with potentially devastating consequences. He notes, "The frequency of sunspots is expected to rapidly decline ... reaching a minimum between the years 2019 and 2020." Indeed, the present decline in solar activity is faster than at any time in the past 9,300 years, suggesting an end to the grand solar maximum.

Critics say that while "it might be safe to go with (Corbyn's) forecast for rain next Tuesday, it would be foolish to gamble that the world can just go on burning all the coal and oil we want." That's the nub of it. The world has bet the shop on CO2 warming and the "science" must be defended at all costs.

But while spinning unfalsifiable "climate disruption" slogans may sway readers of The Sydney Morning Herald and resonate with believers in their centrally heated halls, those in the real world who are witnessing hundreds of people dying of the cold and thousands more receiving emergency treatment, will consider they've been duped.

Not feeling duped, are successive Australian governments that have become committed members of a green-left global warming movement promoted by the UN. On dubious scientific grounds they have agreed to accept meaningless, anti-growth, CO2 emission targets that enrich elites and burden the masses.

And, true to label, a Green Climate Fund supported by Australia and 42 mostly developed countries will re-distribute \$US100 billion (\$128bn) annually to poorer nations as reparation for the unspecified environmental harm the West has allegedly caused them. Big emitters such as China, India and Russia are conspicuously absent.

Policing Australia's targets and helping to spread confirmatory propaganda, is a network of inter-national and local bureaucracies. The world's academies and meteorological organisations, frequently found to be unreliable and biased, keep the faith alive. They reject debate and starve non-conforming researchers of funds and information. Students are indoctrinated with unproven climate-change theories that an unquestioning media gladly re-enforces.

Meanwhile, the country ingenuously surrenders its competitive advantage by refusing to embrace its rich endowment of affordable base-load energy. This it happily exports while lining the pockets of renewable energy rent-seekers with generous taxpayer subsidies.

Should the world enter a period of global cooling, we should expect concerted denial. Too many livelihoods, too many reputations and too much ideology - depend on the CO2 narrative. Having ceded sovereignty over our economies' commanding heights to unelected bureaucrats in Geneva, the West (Donald Trump excluded!) repeatedly turns to expensive vanity projects to paper over this folly. If the iceman cometh, there can be no quick fix, yet we know it takes twice as much energy to heat a home than to cool one. So, pity the poor and infirm who respected medical journal, "The Lancet" says are 20 times likelier to die from cold than heat.

While even to mention a mini ice age risks scorn and derision, recent research has shown a close correlation between solar activity and climate on Earth. That possibility alone should cause shivers, but it will take time and experience before we accept the global warming movement is really the triumph of ideology over science.

Until then we will continue to commit life's cardinal sin of putting too many eggs into one questionable basket.